Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/945,543	REUSCHEL ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Roger L Pang	3681
All Participants: Status of Application:		
(1) <u>Roger L Pang</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Alfred Mangels</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 25 February 2004	Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed: 14 Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: An examiner's amendment was agreed upon that would correct a possible 35 USC 112, second paragraph problem.		
Part III.		
 ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/	'Applicant's Representative Si	gnature – if appropriate)